



IDCRC Secondary Research Proposal - Review Form

Reviewer Name: _____
 Parent Protocol ID: _____
 Parent Protocol Title: _____
 Proposal Title: _____
 Proposal PI: _____

<p>SCIENTIFIC MERIT</p> <p>Score of 1 to 5 Highest = 1 Lowest = 5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are the proposed studies novel? Are the proposed studies aligned with the scope of the protocol and scientific priorities of the IDCRC? Is/are the investigator/s sufficiently qualified to conduct the proposed studies? Are the proposed studies distinct from the per protocol objectives and endpoints? What is the value added to the protocol and field? Are there training opportunities for early-stage investigators? Will the studies expand lab capabilities and/or capacity within the IDCRC/VTEU? Does the proposal involve innovative methodologies or assays? <p>SCORE:</p> <p>Provide comments:</p>
<p>STUDY DESIGN</p> <p>Score of 1 to 5 Highest = 1 Lowest = 5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Are the study population, specimen type and time points appropriate for the research? Are the hypotheses scientifically sound and addressed by the proposed design? Is a statistical analysis plan included or proposed? Is the study sufficiently powered to test the hypotheses? <p>SCORE:</p> <p>Provide comments:</p>



<p>FEASIBILITY</p> <p>Score of 1 to 5 Highest = 1 Lowest = 5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the proposal feasible for implementation? • Do the requested specimens exist in the repository? (i.e., participant time points, number of vials requested, etc.) • What is the level of difficulty for study implementation? • Are the proposed assays sufficiently developed, or is there a clear description of how assays will be developed? • Is there capacity to conduct laboratory assays required for the proposed endpoints in a timely and accurate fashion? • Are there barriers to IRB, ethical and/or regulatory approval? • Is there sufficient current funding in place to conduct the proposed studies? <p>SCORE:</p> <p>Provide comments:</p>
<p>OVERALL PRIORITY SCORE</p> <p>Score of 1 to 5 Highest = 1 Lowest = 5</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is the proposed research of sufficient priority to commit resources (i.e., specimens)? • Are there training opportunities for early-stage investigators? • Based on the relevance of the proposed studies to the protocol and IDCRC scientific agenda, what is your level of support for the proposed studies? <p>SCORE:</p> <p>Summary comments:</p>